IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 4242 OF 2003

Pradeep Shankar Shede. ...Applicant
V/s.
State of Maharashtra & Anr. ...Respondents

Shri Brijesh i/b. Khandeparkar & Associates for Applicant.
Shri C.K. Pendse for Respondent No.2.
Shri R.Y. Mirza, APP for the State.

CORAM : V.M. KANADE, J.

DATED : MARCH 7, 2006

P.C. :-

. Heard learned Counsel for the applicant and learned Counsel for the respondents.

. Learned Counsel for the respondent states that in the trial Court, the matter is ripe for evidence and all the points which are raised in this application can be decided by the trial Court.

2. In view of the fact that the matter is ripe for hearing, in my view, there is no point in considering the submissions which are made by the learned Counsel for the applicant in this application. All questions in the application are kept open and the trial Court shall

decide the same on merits and in accordance with law. The applicant shall be granted exemption and unless his presence is required, the applicant may not be called by the learned Magistrate in the Court. The plea has already been recorded. Since the plea is recorded, in my view, the trial Court is directed to decide the Court case, as expeditiously as possible, and in any case within a period of three months.

. Application is disposed of in the above terms.

(V.M. Kanade, J.)